UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,705	12/02/2004	Takahito Hara	3056 USOP	1003
	7590 04/22/200 NGELL PALMER & D	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 5587		BRISTOL, LYNN ANNE		
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1643	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/516,705	HARA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
LYNN BRISTOL	1643		

	LYNN BRISTOL	1643	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP		=	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavial (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extractional extraction extractional extractional extractional extractional extractional extraction extractional extractional extraction extraction extractional extraction extract	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wind AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	ut prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con			cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		•	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orrosponding number of finally rois	acted claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1 See attached Notice of Non-Col	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		(
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo		imely filed amendmen	t canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>12 and 71-76</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-11 and 13-64</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Larry R. Helms/			
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1643			

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): rejection of Claims 73 and 75 under 112, 2nd paragraph [IF, IF ENTERED].

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The rejection of Claims 12, 71 and 72 under 112, 1st paragraph for lack of written support for the limitation "for at least three months" is maintained. The specification teaches the culture period can last for "at latest or by no later than 3 months or so" (p. 69, lines 11-14); and Example 1 where "cultivation was continued for 6 weeks to 13 weeks or more." Applicants allegation in the Response of 3/25/08 is that on p. 69 at lines 14-16 of the specification, additional support can be found for the range. The examiner submits that the recited passage qualifies that for some compounds, it is expected that no proliferation would be observed within "at latest in about 3 months or so", even when cultivation is continued for a longer period. Thus it is maintained that there is no per se indication set forth in the specification that the culture period is "at least 3 months" which includes 13 weeks but an infinitely upper limit. Finally, Applicants admission of record on p. 14 of the Response that "culturing of cells for 13 weeks provides support for the term "at least three months" wholly ignores the issue of the infinite range limit. How specific and selective is culturing the cells in the presence of any compound for an infinite period of time? If non-specific effects of long-term culturing could effect the cells, would this also raise other issues regarding enablement?

The rejection of Claims 73 (and now Claims 74-76) under 112, 1nd paragraph for lack of enablement in requiring a biological deposit is maintained. Applicants allege that any one of skill in the art could produce any cancer cell with the exact, claimed amino acid substitutions in the protein of SEQ ID NO:2 by following the specification on p. 67, lines 7-15 and p. 74, lines 3-4, and based on the copies of two reference articles describing making mutated genes in cells and living animals; and in Example 1 of the specification using the LNCaP-FGC cell line (ATCC No. CRL-1740) as starting material. The examiner submits that the claimed method is drawn to any cancer cell comprising the amino acid substituted human androgen receptor of SEQ ID NO:2. Claims 73-75 are not limited to any kind of cancer cell and Claim 76 is drawn to any prostate cancer cell. Applicants have shown that only the human prostate carcinoma cell line LNCaP-FGC could be cultured under the conditions set forth in Example 1 to produce cell lines with amino acid substitutions of leucine or cysteine for tryptophan at position 746 and alanine for threonine at position 882, namely, cell lines LNCaP-cxD11 and LNCaP-cxD2, respectively. Applicants have not shown the reproducibility and predictability of generating a cell line(s) with these precise amino acid substitutions under the exact culture conditions.